

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,412	07/16/2003	Merrill-Lee Peterson	2280-001	7369
27522	7590 05/11/		EXAM	INER
SEAN W. GOODWIN			BROWN, PETER R	
237- 8TH AVE. S.E., SUITE 360 THE BURNS BUILDING			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CALGARY, AB T2G 5C3			3636	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)		Applicant(s)				
	10/619,412	PETERSON, MERRILL-LEE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter R. Brown	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	•				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,9 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-8 and 10-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord	,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/619,412

Art Unit: 3636

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim is improper in that it appears to be relying on the user for definition. The phrases "straddling the user", "sandwich the user", and "the weight of the user is supported", are deemed questionable in this regard.

The phrase "mating with the seat member with the straddle edges" is confusing and unclear, and it is not seen how the user is "sandwiched" between the panels and the seat member.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker.

Figure 4 shows structure as claimed, including side panels 14 that are hinged at their edges to form a "straddle edge" with slots 38 formed therein. A "seat" member 32 includes seat stops 36 which engage the slots 38.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject

Application/Control Number: 10/619,412

Art Unit: 3636

matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 17, so far as definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker.

To have utilized a conventional hinge mechanism to interconnected the side panels, for durability purposes, would have been an obvious modification to one with ordinary skill in the art.

The method of set up and assembly of the Baker device would have encompassed the corresponding method steps in claim 17.

Claims 2-8 and 10-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Steuer, Downing, Kyte, Milner, Jr, Calco, Swilley, Oyediran, Jones, and Cochran show various features of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-6853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 3636

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter R. Brown Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3636

prb